IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA

AT   CHANDIGARH

CRM-M- no. OF 2021

In CRM.-M- no 48151 of 2021

Santosh Kumar Kushwah

--------- Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Haryana --------- Respondent

Application u/s 482Cr.P.C for pre-poning the date of above mentioned case to some early date, which is now fixed for 6.1.2022 for an early date.

***RESPECTFULLY SHOWETH***

1. That the above mentioned petition is pending and now fixed from 24.11.2021 to 6.1.2022.

2. That the Ld. Session Court has granted the regular bail to five co-accused persons Pradeep Kumar , Madan, Kishan,Sudhir Mehto and Deepak Singh in the same FIR , in the month of Nov. 2021 ,whereas, petitioner have alleged the same role. In this case challan has been submitted and charge has not been framed by the Ld.JMIC till date.

**3.** That in the above mentioned circumstances the case of the pettioner/applicant deserves to be heard on an earlier actual date.

It is, therefore, respectfully prayed that the application may kindly be allowed and the above titled petition may kindly be listed for an early actual dated of hearing.

Note :-Affidavit is not required as petitioner is in judicial custody.

Chandigarh SURESH AHLAWAT

DATED : 25.11.2021 ADVOCATE

Counsel for the Petitioner

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA

AT   CHANDIGARH

CRM-M- no. OF 2021

In CRM.-M- no 48151 of 2021

Santosh Kumar Kushwah

--------- Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Haryana --------- Respondent

I N D E X

**Particulars Date Page**

Application u/s 482 Cr.P.C 25.11.2021 1

for pre-poning

Power of Attorney already on record

------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

CHANDIGARH

DATED:- 25.11.2021 SURESH AHLAWAT

ADVOCATE Counsel for the Petitioner

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA

AT   CHANDIGARH

C.M. no. OF 2021

In C.W.P. NO 2217 of 2021

Satyaveer Singh and another

--------- Petitioners

VERSUS

State of Haryana and others ------------ Respondents

Affidavit of Suresh Ahlawat Advocate, Punjab and Haryana High Court, Chandigarh

I, the above named deponent do hereby solemnly affirm and declare as under:-   
 That t the contents of the accompanying application for pre-poning the case are admitted to be true and correct.

Place:

Dated:

Verification :

Verified that the contents of my above affidavit are true and correct to my knowledge. No part of it is false and nothing has been kept concealed therein.

Place:

Date:-

Respectfully Showeth :-

1. That the above titled civil writ petition is pending for regular hearing in this Hon,ble Court.
2. That the applicants are the L.R.s of the workman being wife and children who were wholly depended upon him and have challenged the award dated 4.8.2004 (Annexure P-7) whereby the respondent no. 1 had decided Industrial Dispute Reference against the petitioners instead of holding the termination of the service of late Sh. Baljit Singh workman was illegal and the petitioners are entitled to all the consequential benefits including gratuity back wages w.e.f. date of termination of service of said Sh. Baljit Singh till his demise.
3. That the petitioner are living in penury and are in dire need of money as they have no other source of income are facing the pinch of overall hardships of life in the times of skyrocketing prices of almost everything.
4. That in the above mentioned circumstances the case of the petitioner deserves to be heard on an earlier actual date.

It is, therefore, respectfully prayed that the application may kindly be allowed and the above titled CWP may kindly be listed for an early actual dated of hearing.

Application u/s 482 Cr.P.C. for preponing the date of above mentioned case to some early date.

**RESPECTFULLY SHOWTH:**

1. That above mentioned petition has been filed for quashing the FIR ,which is fixed for hearing on 7.11.2019.

2. That above mentioned case is filed before this Hon’ble Court for quashing of the FIR on the basis of compromise . That on the date fixed i.e 3.9.2019 this came in the knowledge of this Hon’ble Court that all the effected parties are not impleaded in the case so, the case was adjourned for a long date to do the needful,

3. That now all effected parties are impleaded in this case and the amended memo of parties is also being filled.

4. That the case is of urgent nature as the compromise has already been effected between the parties, if the case is not prepone to some early date petitioners will suffer irreparable loss.

It is , therefore, most respectfully prayed that the present application may kindly be accepted and the above stated petition may kindly be preponed to some early date in the interest of justice.

NOTE: Affidavit is attached.

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA

AT   CHANDIGARH

C.M. no. OF 2021

In C.W.P. NO 2217 of 2021

Satyaveer Singh and another

--------- Petitioners

VERSUS

State of Haryana and others ------------ Respondents

COURT FEE

CHANDIGARH

DATED:- 31.10.2021 SURESH AHLAWAT

ADVOCATE

COUNSEL FOR THE PETITIONERS

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA

AT   CHANDIGARH

 C.M. No. of 2021

C.W.P. of 2020

Ms Jyoti -------------Petitioner

VERSUD

State of Haryana and Others ---------------- Respondents

Application u/s 151 C.P.C. for pre-poning the date of above mentioned case to some early date, which is now fixed for 20.9.2021 for an early date.

*RESPECTFULLY SHOWETH*

1. That the above mentioned petition is pending and now fixed from 29.6.2021 to 20.9.2021 by the NIC due to out-break of the COVID.

2. That the petitioner in CWP has prayed for a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to release the Post Matric Scholarship/stipend (S.C. Category students), to the petitioner for the session 2018-19 and 2019-2020 (near about amount of Rs. TWO Lakh) forthwith, In terms of the policy of the Annexure –P-1 .

**3.That**  mother of the petitioner is a widow and laborer and her income from all source is less than Rs. one lakh per year. Father of the petitioner already died than policy is squarely covered this case **.** Now petitioner is the student of BAMS 5th year in MSM Institute of Ayurveda, Khanpur –Kalan (Sonipat) .

**4.** That in the above mentioned circumstances the case of the petitioner deserves to be heard on an earlier actual date.

It is, therefore, respectfully prayed that the application may kindly be allowed and the above titled CWP may kindly be listed for an early actual dated of hearing.

Chandigarh SURESH AHLAWAT

DATED : 25.6.2021 ADVOCATE

COUNSEL FOR THE PETITIONER

IN THE HON’BLE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA,

AT CHANDIGARH

 C.M. No. of 2021

C.W.P. 16915 of 2020

Ms Jyoti -------------Petitioner

VERSUD

State of Haryana and Others ---------------- Respondents

I N D E X

**Particulars Date Page**

1.Application u/s 151 CPC 25.6.2021 1-2

2. Affidavit 25.6.2021 3

Power of Attorney already on record

------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

CHANDIGARH

DATED:- 25.6.2021 SURESH AHLAWAT

ADVOCATE

COUNSEL FOR THE PETITIONER

IN THE HON’BLE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA,

AT CHNADIGARH

 C.M. No. of 2022

IN C.W.P. 7965 of 2021

Manphul Singh Saini ------------Petitioner

VERSUD

State of Haryana and Others ---------------- Respondents

Affidavit of Suresh Ahlawat Advocate, Punjab and Haryana High Court, Chandigarh

I, the above named deponent do hereby solemnly affirm and declare as under:-  
 That t the contents of the accompanying application for preponing the case are admitted to be true and correct.

Place:

Dated:

Verification :

Verified that the contents of my above affidavit are true and correct to my knowledge. No part of it is false and nothing has been kept concealed therein.

Place:

Date:-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA

AT   CHANDIGARH

C.M. no. OF 2022

In C.W.P. NO 7965 of 2021

Manphul Singh Saini

--------- Petitioners

VERSUS

State of Haryana and others ------------ Respondents

Application u/s 151 C.P.C. for pre-poning the date of above mentioned case to some early date, which is now fixed for **23.9.2022 for an early date.**

*RESPECTFULLY SHOWETH*

1. That the above mentioned petition is pending and now fixed from 5.8.2021 to 23.9.2022 by the NIC due to out-break of the COVID.

1. That on 8.7.2021 petitioner filed the application for early hearing i.e. C.M no 7445 of 2021 for preponing the case and this application was allowed on 8.7.2021 by the Hon,ble Court and preponed this case from 4.10.2021 to 5.8.2021 and when this case was fixed for 5.8.2021 then Hon,ble Court adjourned this case for 19.1.2022 for filing the reply but could not listed on 19.1.2022 and adjourned by the NIC for 23.9.2022, whereas application for preponing has already been allowed by this Honble Court.
2. That petitioner is a senior citizen (71 years) and suffered from various deceases one of them i.e CANCER diseases and retired from govt. services on attaining the age of superannuation on 31.8.2008. Petitioner praying for issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents to pay him (petitioner) the difference of salary between the accrual drawn and deemed date of promotion, i.e. as Sub Divisional Clerk (S.D.C), Accounts Clerk and Deputy Superintendent w.e.f. 4.3.1975, 7.9.1979 and 24.11.1995 respectively vide order/letter dated 29.7.2019 (Annexure P-1) issued by the respondent no.2 along with all consequential benefits with interest @18% p.a.
3. That in the above mentioned circumstances the case of the petitioner deserves to be heard on an earlier actual date.

It is, therefore, respectfully prayed that the application may kindly be allowed and the above titled CWP may kindly be listed for an early actual dated of hearing.

Chandigarh SURESH AHLAWAT

DATED : 10.4.2022 ADVOCATE

COUNSEL FOR THE PETITIONER

IN THE HON’BLE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA,

AT CHANDIGARH

 C.M. No. of 2022

C.W.P. 7965 of 2021

Manphul Singh Saini ------------Petitioner

VERSUD

State of Haryana and Others ---------------- Respondents

I N D E X

**Particulars Date Page**

1.Application u/s 151 CPC 10.4.2022 1-2

2. Affidavit .4.2022 3

Power of Attorney already on record

------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

CHANDIGARH

DATED:- 10.4.2022 SURESH AHLAWAT

ADVOCATE

COUNSEL FOR THE PETITIONER

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA

AT   CHANDIGARH

 C.M. No. of 2021

IN C.W.P. 20274 of 2018

Karamvir -------------Petitioner

VERSUD

Haryana Power Generation Corporation Ltd. and Others -------------------Respondents

Application u/s 151 C.P.C. for pre-poning the date of above mentioned case to some early date, which is now fixed for **26.10.2021** for an early date.

***RESPECTFULLY SHOWETH***

1. That the above mentioned petition (along with bunch matter i.e more than 50 identical cases) is pending and now fixed from 28.7.2021 to 26.10.2021 by the NIC due to out-break of the COVID.

2. That the petitioner in CWP has prayed for a writ in the nature of Certiorari for quashing the impugned letter dated 6.2.2018 **(Annexure P-7)** passed by the Respondent no.2 whereby claim of the petitioner for govt.job/appointment under special oustees policy of thermal power plant, Jharli (Jhajjar) Annexure P-1 has been rejected on the hyper technical ground that the name of the petitioner was in the list supplied /recommending by the Deputy Commissioner, Jhajjar over and above of the list of 2013

AND further writ in the nature of Mandamus be issued, directing the respondents to issue appointment letter to the petitioner for the post, according his education qualification, in terms of the policy decision (land oustees) Annexure –P-1 as more than two acre land of the petitioner’s family has been acquired but none has been given the govt. job/appointment in his family.

As such 18 kanal 13 marla (i.e. more than 2 acres) agriculture land (ancestral) of the family of the petitioner (i.e petitioner and his grandmother Smt. Chhoti wd./o late Sh. Gopi Chand) has been acquired in the thermal plant . It is pertinent to mention here that father of the petitioner Late Sh. Naseeb Singh and grandfather Late Sh. Gopi Chand have already died.

**3.** That the scheme framed by the respondents that the land measuring two acre of individual should be acquired is violation of the of the policy , where in, it has been held that land measuring two acre should be acquired of a family for job and not of individual.

4. That the four villages, whose land has been acquired, in most of cases the appointment letters have been issued and govt. jobs have been provided, but no appointment letter to the petitioner has been received so far.

**4.** That in the above mentioned circumstances the case of the petitioner deserves to be heard on an earlier actual date.

It is, therefore, respectfully prayed that the application may kindly be allowed and the above titled CWP may kindly be listed for an early actual dated of hearing.

Chandigarh SURESH AHLAWAT

DATED : 7.7.2021 ADVOCATE

COUNSEL FOR THE PETITIONER

IN THE HON’BLE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA,

AT CHANDIGARH

 C.M. No. of 2022

IN C.O.C.P. 3674 of 2019

Siripal -------------Petitioner

VERSUD

Shatrujeet Kapoor and another ---------------Respondents

I N D E X

**Particulars Date Page**

1.Application u/s 151 CPC 4..3.2022 1-2

2. Affidavit .3.2022 3

Power of Attorney already on record

------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

CHANDIGARH

DATED:- 4.3.2022 SURESH AHLAWAT

ADVOCATE

COUNSEL FOR THE PETITIONER

IN THE HON’BLE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA,

AT CHNADIGARH

 C.M. No. of 2022

IN C.O.C.P. 3674 of 2019

Siripal -------------Petitioner

VERSUD

Shatrujeet Kapoor and another ---------------Respondents

Affidavit of Suresh Ahlawat Advocate, Punjab and Haryana High Court, Chandigarh

I, the above named deponent do hereby solemnly affirm and declare as under:-  
 That t the contents of the accompanying application for preponing the case are admitted to be true and correct.

Place:

Dated:

Verification :

Verified that the contents of my above affidavit are true and correct to my knowledge. No part of it is false and nothing has been kept concealed therein.

Place:

Date:-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA

AT   CHANDIGARH

 C.M. No. of 2022

IN C.O.C.P. 3674 of 2019

Siripal -------------Petitioner

VERSUD

Shatrujeet Kapoor and another ---------------Respondents

Application u/s 151 C.P.C. for pre-poning the date of above mentioned case to some early date, which is now fixed for **9.5.2022** for an early date.

***RESPECTFULLY SHOWETH***

1. That the above mentioned petition is pending and now fixed from 10.2.2022 to 9.5.2022 because last date of hearing i.e on 10.2.2022 this case has not been taken-up for hearing .

2. That the petitioner had filled a civil writ petition no. 17213 of 2015 titled Siri Pal and others versus UHBVN and others before this Hon,ble Court. The petitioner has filed the writ petition seeking following relief :-

CIVIL WRIT PETITION Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of writ ,order ,direction specially in the nature of a Writ of Certiorari for quashing the impugned order dated 4.4.2014 Annexure P-3 and order dated Annexure P-5 whereby the suspension period with effect from 4.12.2011 to 18.7.2013 has been treated as “leave of kind due” instead of paying the salary for the intervening period ,which is contrary to the Rule 7.3 of the Punjab Civil Service Rules Vol. 1,Part-1 and law laid down by this Humble Court in CWP no. 5187 of 2012 (Annexure P-6).

3. **That t**he above noted writ petition came up for final hearing on 5.4.2019 and the Hon,ble Court allowed that writ petition .

4. **That** despite filling of the contempt petition , the respondents did not take any action for grant the financial benefit to the petitioner, in compliance of the order passed by the Hon,ble High Court. Respondent filed the LPA no. 1521of 2019 against order dated 5.4.2019 but no stay has been granted .further now petitioner has been retired from service after attaining the age of superannuation ..

5. **That** now more than **TWO YEARS have expired** from the date of passing of order dated 5.4.2019 by this Hon,ble High Court , but the respondents did not pay any heed and are not implementing the order for its compliance. The act and conduct of the respondents shows clear cut willful and deliberate disobedience of the order dated 5.4.2019 passed by this Hon,ble High Court.

**6.** **That** in the above mentioned circumstances the case of the petitioner deserves to be heard on an earlier actual date.

It is, therefore, respectfully prayed that the application may kindly be allowed and the above titled COCP may kindly be listed for an early actual dated of hearing.

Chandigarh SURESH AHLAWAT

DATED : 4.3.2022 ADVOCATE

COUNSEL FOR THE PETITIONER

IN THE HON’BLE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA,

AT CHNADIGARH

 C.M. No. of 2022

IN C.O.C.P. 3674 of 2019

Siripal -------------Petitioner

VERSUD

Shatrujeet Kapoor and another ---------------Respondents

COURT FEE

CHANDIGARH

DATED:- 4.3..2022 SURESH AHLAWAT

ADVOCATE

COUNSEL FOR THE PETITIONER

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE PANJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

C.M. No. of 2021

In LPA. No. 807 of 2020

State of Haryana and others ------------------------Petitioners

VERSUS

Gopi Ram ------------------------- Respondent

Application u/s 151 C.P.C. for pre-poning the date of above mentioned case to some early date, which is now fixed for **1.4.2022** for an early date.

***RESPECTFULLY SHOWETH***

1. That the above mentioned petition is pending and now fixed from 17.11.2021 to 1.4.2022 by the NIC due to out-break of the COVID.

2. That the petitioner had filled a civil writ petition no. 10158 of 2017 titled Gopi Ram through his wife versus state of Haryana and others before this Hon,ble Court. The petitioner has filed the writ petition seeking following relief :-

CIVIL WRIT PETITION under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of Writ, in the nature of Certiorari for quashing the impugned order dated 14.6.2004 (Annexure P-3) passed by the respondent no.4 , vide which petitioner , who was working as Science master in the education department ,Haryana has been relieved/retired w.e.f 9.6.2004 from Government Service on the basis of medical report, in utter violation of Section 47 of the Persons with Disabilities Act-1995 and further a writ in the nature of Mandamus be issued to grant him all consequential service benefits with interest, considering the petitioner on Government duty till he attains the superannuation age i.e 31.5.2024 for all purposes and intents w.e.f. 9.6.2004 (relieving date ) in the light of the provision of Section 47 of The Persons with Disabilities Equal Opportunities Protection of Rights and Full Participation Act-1995

**3. That t**he above noted writ petition came up for final hearing on 14.1.2020 and the this Hon,ble Court allowed that writ petition .

4. **That** Respondent filed the LPA no. 807 of 2020 against order dated 14.1.2020 and obtained the stay order against the order of the Hon,ble Sigal Banch now this LPA is pending fixed for 16.11.2021. Whereas Petitioner is the 100% disabled due to head injury in road accident . Then, there is grave need for deciding this matter being its urgent nature.

**5.** **That** in the above mentioned circumstances, the case of the petitioner deserves to be heard on an earlier actual date.

It is, therefore, respectfully prayed that the application may kindly be allowed and the above titled case may kindly be listed for an early actual dated of hearing.

Chandigarh SURESH AHLAWAT

DATED : 24.8.2021 ADVOCATE

COUNSEL FOR THE Respondent

IN THE HON’BLE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA,

AT CHNADIGARH

C.M. No. of 2021

In LPA. No. 807 of 2020

State of Haryana and others ------------------------Petitioners

VERSUS

Gopi Ram ------------------------- Respondent

COURT FEE

CHANDIGARH

DATED:- 24.8..2021 SURESH AHLAWAT

ADVOCATE

COUNSEL FOR THE RESPONDENT

IN THE HON’BLE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA,

AT CHNADIGARH

C.M. No. of 2021

In LPA. No. 807 of 2020

State of Haryana and others ------------------------Petitioners

VERSUS

Gopi Ram ------------------------- Respondent

I N D E X

**Particulars Date Page**

1.Application u/s 151 CPC 24..8.2021 1-2

2. Affidavit 24 .8.2021 3

Power of Attorney already on record

------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

CHANDIGARH

DATED:- 24.8.2021 SURESH AHLAWAT

ADVOCATE

COUNSEL FOR THE RESPONDENT

IN THE HON’BLE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA,

AT CHNADIGARH

C.M. No. of 2021

In LPA. No. 807 of 2020

State of Haryana and others ------------------------Petitioners

VERSUS

Gopi Ram ------------------------- Respondent

Affidavit of Suresh Ahlawat Advocate, Punjab and Haryana High Court, Chandigarh

I, the above named deponent do hereby solemnly affirm and declare as under:-  
 That t the contents of the accompanying application for preponing the case are admitted to be true and correct.

Place:

Dated:

Verification :

Verified that the contents of my above affidavit are true and correct to my knowledge. No part of it is false and nothing has been kept concealed therein.

Place:

Date:-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA

AT   CHANDIGARH

C.M. no. OF 2022

In C.W.P. NO 7091 of 2018

Lakhi Ram and another -------------------- Petitioners

VERSUS

State of Haryana and others --- ------------ --- Respondents

Court Fee

CHANDIGARH

DATED:- 1.2.2022 S.S. Nain

ADVOCATE

COUNSEL FOR THE Respondent No.5

Civil Writ Petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for the issuance of an appropriate order or direction, calling for the record of the case and after perusal of the same : -

Issue a Writ in the nature of Certiorari for quashing the impugned order dated 10.12.2020 (Annexure P-9) whereby claim of the earned leave (i.e period of 13.3.1996 to 19.5.1999) has been wrongly rejected, being fully entitled for the same, as every benefit (i.e. seniority, annual increments, A.C.P. scale, gratuity and superannuation pension ) has been granted treated the date of appointment as 13.3.1996 instead of 19.5.1999 under the order passed by the Hon’ble High in CWP no.10767 of 2002 in lieu thereof letter dated 17.1.2005 (Annexure P-1 & P-2) granting the benefits has also been issued by the department.

Further a writ in the nature of Mandamus be issued directing the respondents to grant the benefit of Earned Leave after taking in to consideration the date of appointment as 13.3.1996 instead of 19.5.1999 with all consequential benefits along with 18%interst p.a. on the amount so calculated towards leave encashment from the date of accrued (i.e 13.3.1996 to 19.5.1999 ), till final payment OR to issue any other appropriate order or direction which this Hon’ble Court may deem fit and proper peculiar facts and circumstances of the case

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA

AT   CHANDIGARH

C.M. no. OF 2021

In C.W.P. NO 2293 of 2021

Birender Singh

--------- Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Haryana and others --------- Respondents

Application u/s 151 C.P.C. for pre-poning the date of above mentioned case to some early date, which is now fixed for 17.12.2021 for an early date.

***RESPECTFULLY SHOWETH:-***

1. That the above mentioned petition is pending and now fixed from 15.9.2021 to 17.12.2021 by the NIC due to out-break of the COVID.

2. That the petitioner after rendering the total qualifying services i.e. 22 Years to the education department was gracefully retired from service after attaining his age of superannuation on 30.6.2018. But after his retirement from service benefit of earned leave /leave encasement for the period of three years has not been granted

3. That prayer of the petitioners in this case is Issue a Writ in the nature of Certiorari for quashing the impugned order dated 10.12.2020 (Annexure P-9) whereby claim of the earned leave (i.e period of 13.3.1996 to 19.5.1999) has been wrongly rejected, being fully entitled for the same, as every benefit (i.e. seniority, annual increments, A.C.P. scale, gratuity and superannuation pension ) has been granted treated the date of appointment as 13.3.1996 instead of 19.5.1999 under the order passed by the Hon’ble High in CWP no.10767 of 2002 in lieu thereof letter dated 17.1.2005 (Annexure P-1 & P-2) granting the benefits has also been issued by the department.

Further a writ in the nature of Mandamus be issued directing the respondents to grant the benefit of Earned Leave after taking in to consideration the date of appointment as 13.3.1996 instead of 19.5.1999 with all consequential benefits along with 18%interst p.a. on the amount so calculated towards leave encashment from the date of accrued (i.e 13.3.1996 to 19.5.1999 ), till final payment OR to issue any other appropriate order or direction which this Hon’ble Court may deem fit and proper peculiar facts and circumstances of the case

**4.** That in the above mentioned circumstances, the case of the petitioner/applicant deserves to be heard on an earlier actual date.

It is, therefore, respectfully prayed that the application may kindly be allowed and the above titled petition may kindly be listed for an early actual dated of hearing.

Chandigarh Suresh Ahlawat

DATED : 31.10.2021 ADVOCATE

Counsel for the Petitioner

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA

AT   CHANDIGARH

C.M. no. OF 2021

In C.W.P. NO 2293 of 2021

Birender Singh

--------- Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Haryana and others ------------ Respondents

I N D E X

**Particulars Date Page**

1.Application u/s 151 CPC 31.10.2021 1-2

2. Affidavit .11.2021 3

Power of Attorney

------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

CHANDIGARH

DATED:- 31.10.2021 SURESH AHLAWAT

ADVOCATE Counsel for the Petitioner

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA

AT   CHANDIGARH

C.M. no. OF 2022

In C.W.P. NO 7091 of 2018

Lakhi Ram and another -------------------- Petitioners

VERSUS

State of Haryana and others --- ------------ --- Respondents

Affidavit of Randhir Singh son of Sh. Nathu Ram resident of vill. Umra Tehsil Hansi Distt. Hisar.

I, the above named deponent do hereby solemnly affirm and declare as under:-   
 That t the contents of the accompanying application for pre-poning the case are admitted to be true and correct.

Place:

Dated:

Verification :

Verified that the contents of my above affidavit are true and correct to my knowledge. No part of it is false and nothing has been kept concealed therein.

Place:

Date:-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA

AT   CHANDIGARH

C.M. no. OF 2022

In C.W.P. NO 7091 of 2018

Lakhi Ram and another -------------------- Petitioners

VERSUS

State of Haryana and others --- ------------ --- Respondents

2nd Application u/s 151 C.P.C. for pre-poning the date of above mentioned case to some early date, which is now fixed for **16. 9. 2022** for an early date.

*RESPECTFULLY SHOWETH*

1. That the above mentioned petition is pending and now fixed from **2.2.2022 to 16.9.2022** by the NIC due to out-break of the COVID.

2. That respondents are suffering due to stay order dated 21.3.2018 on implementation of the partition proceeding by this Hon,ble Cour. Petitioners are not providing the passage/rasta to the private respondents to ingress egress in their field. Applicant/Respondent has already filed ,early hearing application, which has been dismissed on 8.11.2021.

**3.** That in the above mentioned circumstances the case of the respondents deserves to be heard on an earlier actual date.

It is, therefore, respectfully prayed that the application may kindly be allowed and the above titled CWP may kindly be listed for an early actual dated of hearing.

Chandigarh S.S.Nain

DATED : 1.2.2022 ADVOCATE

COUNSEL FOR THE Applicant/ Respondent no.5

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA

AT   CHANDIGARH

C.M. no. OF 2022

In C.W.P. NO 1947 of 2020

Kavita ------------------Petitioner

VERSUS

Haryana power general Corporation ltd. and others

----------------- Respondents

Application u/s 151 C.P.C. for pre-poning the date of above mentioned case to some early date, which is now fixed for **5. 7. 2022** for an early date.

*RESPECTFULLY SHOWETH*

1. That the above mentioned petition is pending and now fixed from **17.12.2021 to 5.7..2022** after three times adjourned by the NIC due to out-break of the COVID.
2. That the agriculture land of the family of the petitioner was acquired for in 2009 for stepping-up Thermal power Plant Jharli ( Jhajjar) .
3. That policy was formulated that one member each of the family whose land has been acquired shall be given employment. Further ,it was decided that the job will be offered against Class-III and IV posts as per the qualification possessed by the member of the land oustees family .
4. That petitioner applied for employment in lieu of land acquired for the post of LDC according to her education qualification because she was Post-Graduate (M.A.) at the time of applying for job. But respondents offered the post of peon on 24.7.2020 ,whereas she was entitled for the post of LDC . It is pertinent to mention here that qualification for the post of L.D.C. is mentioned in the relevant para no.29 (iii) of policy (Ann. P-1) , which is reproduced **below kind perusal of this Hon’ble High Court.**

**“The applicants who are graduate with less than 60% marks ,would be adjusted against the post of LDC in relaxation of qualification . The applicants who are illiterate /under middle / under matric .would be adjusted the peon in relaxation of qualification”**

1. That petitioner joined the post of peon under protest in the Aug.2020. Now there is urgency in this case, because right of the petitioner is prima facie made out for the post of LDC according to policy.
2. That in the above mentioned circumstances the case of the petitioner deserves to be heard on an earlier actual date.

It is, therefore, respectfully prayed that the application may kindly be allowed and the above titled CWP may kindly be listed for an early actual dated of hearing.

Chandigarh SURESH AHLAWAT

DATED : 5.1.2022 ADVOCATE

COUNSEL FOR THE PETITIONER

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA

AT   CHANDIGARH

C.M. no. of 2022

In C.W.P. NO 7091 of 2018

Lakhi Ram and another ----------------Petitioners

VERSUS

State of Haryana and others ------------ Respondents

Affidavit of

I, the above named deponent do hereby solemnly affirm and declare as under:-   
 That t the contents of the accompanying application for pre-poning the case are admitted to be true and correct.

Place:

Dated:

Verification :

Verified that the contents of my above affidavit are true and correct to my knowledge. No part of it is false and nothing has been kept concealed therein.

Place:

Date:-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA

AT   CHANDIGARH

C.M. no. OF 2022

In C.W.P. NO 7091 of 2018

Lakhi Ram and another -------------Petitioners

VERSUS

State of Haryana and others ------------ Respondents

I N D E X

**Particulars Date Page**

1.Application u/s 151 CPC 1.2.2022 1

2. Affidavit .2.2022 2

Power of Attorney already on record

------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

CHANDIGARH

DATED:- 1.2.2022 S.S. Nain

ADVOCATE Counsel for the Respondent

IN THE HON’BLE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA,

AT CHANDIGARH

  C.M. No. of 2022

In C.W.P. 270 of 2022

Ram Phal Singh and Others -------------Petitioners

VERSUD

State of Haryana and Others ---------------- Respondents

I N D E X

**Particulars Date Page**

1.Application u/s 151 CPC 25.1.2022 1-11

Annexure R/1 Zimni orders 12

2. Affidavit 25.1.2022 13

Power of Attorney already on record

------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

CHANDIGARH

DATED:- 25.1.2022 SURESH AHLAWAT

ADVOCATE Counsel for the Respondent No.7

IN THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

C.M. No. of 2022

In C.W.P. 270 of 2022

Ram Phal Singh and Others -------------Petitioners

VERSUS

State of Haryana and Others ---------------- Respondents

Application u/s 151 CPC seeking exemption from filing certified copies of Annexure R-1 and for placing on record true translated extract copy thereof.

RESPECTFULLY SHOWETH:

1- That the applicant/respondent no.7 is filing this application and is sanguine of acceptance of the same on the grounds mentioned therein.

2- That the applicant/respondent no.7 has not readily available the certified copies of Annexure R-1, which is relevant and necessary for the proper adjudication of the case. However, true typed copy of the same is attached herewith for the kind perusal of this Hon’ble Court.

It is, therefore, respectfully prayed that the applicant/respondent no.7 may kindly be exempted from filing certified copy of Annexure R-1 and allow him to place on record true extract translated typed copy thereof, in the interest of justice.

Note: Affidavit is attached herewith.

CHANDIGARH

DATED:25.1.2022 (SURESH AHLAWAT)

ADVOCATE

COUNSEL FOR THE Applicant/respondent no.7 ( Ram Juwari)

IN THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

C.M. No. of 2022

In C.W.P. 270 of 2022

Ram Phal Singh and Others -------------Petitioners

VERSUS

State of Haryana and Others ---------------- Respondents

Affidavit of Isson of Giani Ram, resident of Village Intal Khurd, Tehsil and District Jind

I, the above named deponent, do hereby solemnly affirm and declare as under:-

1- That the deponent is not readily available with the certified copies of Annexure R-1 , and wants to place on record the same ,which is necessary for proper adjudication of the case.

CHANDIGARH

DATED: .1.2022

VERIFICATION:

Verified that the contents of the above affidavit are true and correct to my knowledge. No part of it is false and nothing has been concealed therein.

CHANDIGARH

DATED: .1.2022